

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,072	08/26/2003	David Cleve Eubanks	TS 1256 USA	3376
7590 01/21/2005			EXAMINER	
Jennifer D. Adamson			DANG, THUAN D	
Shell Oil Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Legal - Intellectual Property			AKI ONII	TAFER NUMBER
P. O. Box 2463			1764	
Houston, TX 77252-2463			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer:	10/648,072	EUBANKS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thuan D. Dang	1764
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Not</u></li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the lddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ⊠ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/648,072

Art Unit: 1764

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubner et al (5,210,354) in view of Joustra et al (EP 0345856) or Joustra et al (EP 0345856) in view of Dubner et al (5,210,354).

Dubner as disclosed by applicants discloses a process having substantially the same step as the applicants' claimed process except of using a heterogeneous catalyst for step (a) (see page 1, line 16 thru page 2, line 8 of the specification of this application; also see the see the entire patent to Dubner for details).

Joustra discloses a process including substantially the same as the applicants' claimed process (the abstract; pages 2-3).

Dubner is silent as using a heterogeneous catalyst for step (a) (see the entire patent for details).

Joustra is silent as the catalyst of step (b) (see the entire patent for details).

However, while Joustra disclose using heterogeneous catalyst for step (a) (abstract), Dubner discloses using a homogeneous catalyst for step (b) (page 1 of the specification of this application).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Dubner process by using the Joustra heterogeneous catalyst for step (a) to arrive at the applicants' claimed process since Joustra catalyst is much more active (page 3, lines 51-53).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Joustra process by using the Dubner homogeneous

Application/Control Number: 10/648,072

Art Unit: 1764

catalyst for step (b) since using any catalyst for this step in the Joustra process is expected to yield similar results.

Other limitations can be found in both references.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument that as explained in the specification on page 4, lines 17-28, the crude phenyl alkanol or substituted phenyl alkanol contains heavy components that are not removed is not persuasive since applicants do not excluded any purification step from the process claims.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,072 Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

10648072.20050113